

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/705,640		11/10/2003	Barney D. Visser	018321-007010US	4782	
	20350	7590	12/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TOWNSEND AN	GROSZ, AI	GROSZ, ALEXANDER		
		EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834			^A 94111_3834		3673		•

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0/2					
	10/705,640	VISSER ET AL.	$M_{\mathcal{O}}$					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alexander Grosz	3673						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply			SS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this commu  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
Status								
1) 🗹 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/	<u>61</u> .04							
	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 127 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrates 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		• :					
Application Papers								
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on ஹ்ஜ் is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			40470					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica Drity documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Sta	ge					
·								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-15	2) ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/705,640

Art Unit: 3673

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The species of figures 1-3, disclosing a spring mattress, and the species of figures 4, 5 disclosing a "nonspring core" mattress.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Gibby on 12/6/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In response to this action, applicant is invited to identify copending application 10/704,879 in paragraphs [0001] and [0038], and <u>amend</u> claims in view of the prosecution of 10/704, 879.

It is noted that in paragraph [0025], line 9, "relative" must be changed to – relatively-; in paragraph [0033], line 4, and in paragraph [0034] lines 1, 3 and 4, "36" must be changed to -26-.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number (703) 308-2498.

Grosz/vs December 15, 2004